	ED STATES PATENT	T AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 www.uspto.gov	Frademark Office OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/070,939	05/13/2002	Michael Schwager	1001.1591101	4760
28075 7590 12/10/2003			EXAMINER	
CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC			MARMOR II, CHARLES ALAN	
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55403-2420		3736 DATE MAILED: 12/10/2003	, <u>Z</u>

ì

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/

<u> </u>		(
-	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/070,939	SCHWAGER, MICHAEL
Once Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Charles A. Marmor, Il	3736
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wil	n the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s)</li></ul>	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 February 2003</u> is	are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ o	bbjected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docum</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docum</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum</li> <li>3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesince a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesince of the priority docum</li> </ul>	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). list of the certified copies not estic priority under 35 U.S.C. first sentence of the specification provisional application has be estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	pplication No received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ation or in an Application Data Sheet. een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific
Attachment(s)	<b>—</b>	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(</li> </ol>	5) 🗌 Notice of Ir	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Preliminary Amendment filed March 7, 2002. The Examiner acknowledges the amendments to the specification; the amendments to claims 1-15; and the cancellation of claims 16-18. The remarks accompanying said Preliminary Amendment indicate that upon entry of the amendment claims 12-23, 25-43 and 45-48 are pending. However, only claims 1-18 were filed in the instant Application. In view of the foregoing, claims 1-15 are pending.

#### Drawings

2. Figures 1-3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Page 2

1

4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it uses legal phraseology, i.e. "said" at lines 3 and 4. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

5. The disclosure is objected to because the preferred embodiment of the invention disclosed in the detailed description contradicts the disclosure of the summary of the invention and of claim 3. Particularly, page 6, lines 13-16 of the detailed description recite that the radius of curvature of the first bent section is larger than the radius of curvature of the second bent section. Whereas, page 3, lines 1 and 2 of the Summary of the Invention and Claim 3 recite that the radius of curvature of the first bent section is smaller than the radius of curvature of the second bent section. Appropriate correction is required.

#### **Claim Objections**

6. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2, "shaped" apparently should read --shape--. Appropriate correction is required.

7. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2, "its distal end" apparently should read --a distal end thereof--. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

¥

9. Claims 6-8 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 6 and 7, the claims are indefinite because it is unclear how "straight" sections can *include* angles.

Claim 15 recites the limitation "said distal end" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. There is no distal end recited in claims 1 or 15 prior to this recitation. In line 2, "said distal end" apparently should read --a distal end--.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

11. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weinstock et al. ('276). Weinstock et al. teach a guiding aid **64,64',64"** including a flexible shapeable shaft having a straight distal end section, a first bent section, an intermediate straight section, a second bent section and a proximal straight section (Figs. 6-6B, col. 9, lines 8-65). The bent sections have the same sign of curvature and are located substantially in the same plane, having a substantially circular arc. The radius of the curvature of the first bent section is smaller than the radius of the curvature of the second bent section. The straight end section and the straight intermediate section form a first obtuse angle, and the straight intermediate section and an axis of

the guiding aid form a second obtuse angle. The obtuse angles are between 135° and 150°. The shaft 61 is tapered toward its distal end. A helically wound spring 65 is disposed about the shaft and includes rounded terminal elements 67,69.

12. Claims 1-10 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Berg et al. ('873). Berg et al. teach a guiding aid including a flexible shapeable shaft having a straight distal end section, a first bent section, an intermediate straight section, a second bent section and a proximal straight section (Figs. 2B-2C). The bent sections have the same sign of curvature and are located substantially in the same plane having a substantially circular arc. The radius of the curvature of the first bent section and the straight section form a first obtuse angle and the straight intermediate section and an axis of the guiding aid form a second obtuse angle. The obtuse angles are between 135° and 150°. The shaft is tapered toward its distal end (Fig. 1B). The shaft is made of a material having superelastic characteristics, particularly Nitinol, and is further provided with radiopaque means (col. 6, lines 21-32).

#### Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hassett ('018), Hassett et al. ('084), Swartz et al. ('289) and Lurie et al. ('231) teach guiding aids that include shafts having a plurality of bent sections.

ž

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles A. Marmor, II whose telephone number is (703) 305-3521. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH (7:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (703) 308-3130. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Charles A. Marmor, II Primary Examiner Art Unit 3736

cam December 4, 2003